

Better Care Fund 2021-22 Template

6. Metrics

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

York

8.1 Avoidable admissions

| | 19-20 Actual | 20-21 Actual | 21-22 Plan | Overview Narrative | |
|---|---|-----------------|---------------|--|---|
| Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions (NHS Outcome Framework indicator 2.3i) | Available from NHS Digital (link below) at local authority level. Please use as guideline only | 1,727.0 | | schemes are in place to support admission avoidance and support patients to self manage care and signs of deterioration. Schemes such as local area co-ordinators as well as social prescribers linking into primary care enable targeted support. Short term crisis care is also in place to provide short term dom care options. | Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for reducing rates of unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory sensitive conditions, including any assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity for Health and Social Care Integration are expected to impact on the metric. |
| >> link to NHS Digital webpage | | | | | |

8.2 Length of Stay

| | | 21-22 Q3 Plan | 21-22 Q4 Plan | Comments | |
|--|---|------------------|------------------|---|---|
| Percentage of in patients, resident in the HWB, who have been an inpatient in an acute hospital for: i) 14 days or more ii) 21 days or more As a percentage of all inpatients (SUS data - available on the Better Care Exchange) | Proportion of inpatients resident for 14 days or more | 9.90% | 11.40% | The national ambition of reducing inpatient stays of 21 days or more to 12% has been achieved. (query if this target is for ages 65+). The Q3 and Q4 targets are based on the achievement so far in 21/22 using 19/20 average performance figures. | Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for reducing the percentage of hospital inpatients with a long length of stay (14 days or over and 21 days and over) including a rationale for the ambitions that sets out how these have been reached in partnership with local hospital trusts, and an assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity in the BCF are expected to impact on the metric. See the main planning requirements document for more information. |
| | Proportion of inpatients resident for 21 days or more | 5.30% | 6.40% | | |

8.3 Discharge to normal place of residence

| | 21-22 Plan | Comments | |
|--|---------------|--|---|
| Percentage of people, resident in the HWB, who are discharged from acute hospital to their normal place of residence (SUS data - available on the Better Care Exchange) | 95.00% | The proportion of patients discharged to their usual place of residence in 21/22 to August is 95%. This is an increase on 20/21 (93.9%) and the ambition is to keep the performance at above 95% | Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for improving the percentage of people who return to their normal place of residence on discharge from acute hospital, including a rationale for how the ambition was reached and an assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity in the BCF are expected to impact on the metric. See the main planning requirements document for more information. |

8.4 Residential Admissions

| | | 19-20 Plan | 19-20 Actual | 20-21 Actual | 21-22 Plan | Comments |
|--|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Long-term support needs of older people (age 65 and over) met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population | Annual Rate | 586 | 540 | 347 | 312 | additional home care provision has been commissioned to support care at home including innovative support through care rooms. Data shows that the number of people accessing residential or nursing care has increased due to the impact of COVID and increase numbers of D2A discharges into care home beds and increase in |
| | Numerator | 227 | 209 | 135 | 124 | |
| | Denominator | 38,739 | 38,735 | 38,874 | 39,734 | |

Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for reducing rates of admission to residential and nursing homes for people over the age of 65, including any assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity for Health and Social Care Integration are expected to impact on the metric.

Long-term support needs of older people (age 65 and over) met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population (aged 65+) population projections are based on a calendar year using the 2018 based Sub-National Population Projections for Local Authorities in England:

<https://www.ons.gov.uk/releases/subnationalpopulationprojectionsforengland2018based>

8.5 Reablement

| | | 19-20 Plan | 19-20 Actual | 21-22 Plan | Comments |
|---|-------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement / rehabilitation services | Annual (%) | 84.3% | 80.6% | 87.9% | a full review is underway to support the development of a single early intervention pathway, to improve and support independence outcomes for people. Patients support through reablement has fallen due to increase in dependency. The impact of COVID has also affected flow. Partners have worked together and jointly provide short |
| | Numerator | 43 | 29 | 29 | |
| | Denominator | 51 | 36 | 33 | |

Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for increasing the proportion of older people who are still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation, including any assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity for Health and Social Care Integration are expected to impact on the metric.

Please note that due to the splitting of Northamptonshire, information from previous years will not reflect the present geographies. As such, all pre-populated figures above for Northamptonshire have been combined.

For North Northamptonshire HWB and West Northamptonshire HWB, please comment on individual HWBs rather than Northamptonshire as a whole.

Better Care Fund 2021-22 Template

7. Confirmation of Planning Requirements

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

York

| Theme | Code | Planning Requirement | Key considerations for meeting the planning requirement These are the Key Lines of Enquiry (KLOEs) underpinning the Planning Requirements (PR) | Confirmed through | Please confirm whether your BCF plan meets the Planning Requirement? | Please note any supporting documents referred to and relevant page numbers to assist the assurers | Where the Planning requirement is not met, please note the actions in place towards meeting the requirement | Where the Planning requirement is not met, please note the anticipated timeframe for meeting it |
|--|------|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| NC1: Jointly agreed plan | PR1 | A jointly developed and agreed plan that all parties sign up to | <p>Has a plan; jointly developed and agreed between CCG(s) and LA; been submitted?</p> <p>Has the HWB approved the plan/delegated approval pending its next meeting?</p> <p>Have local partners, including providers, VCS representatives and local authority service leads (including housing and DFG leads) been involved in the development of the plan?</p> <p>Where the narrative section of the plan has been agreed across more than one HWB, have individual income, expenditure and metric sections of the plan been submitted for each HWB concerned?</p> | <p>Cover sheet</p> <p>Cover sheet</p> <p>Narrative plan</p> <p>Validation of submitted plans</p> | Yes | As stated in section 3 and narrative BCF plan | | |
| | PR2 | A clear narrative for the integration of health and social care | <p>Is there a narrative plan for the HWB that describes the approach to delivering integrated health and social care that describes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> How the area will continue to implement a joined-up approach to integrated, person-centred services across health, care, housing and wider public services locally. The approach to collaborative commissioning The overarching approach to support people to remain independent at home, and how BCF funding will be used to support this. How the plan will contribute to reducing health inequalities and inequalities for people with protected characteristics? This should include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> How equality impacts of the local BCF plan have been considered, Changes to local priorities related to health inequality and equality, including as a result of the COVID 19 pandemic, and how activities in the BCF plan will address these | Narrative plan assurance | Yes | As described in section 5 and 6, as well as narrative plan submitted to HWBB | | |
| | PR3 | A strategic, joined up plan for DFG spending | <p>Is there confirmation that use of DFG has been agreed with housing authorities?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the narrative set out a strategic approach to using housing support, including use of DFG funding that supports independence at home? In two tier areas, has: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agreement been reached on the amount of DFG funding to be passed to district councils to cover statutory Disabled Facilities Grants? or The funding been passed in its entirety to district councils? | <p>Narrative plan</p> <p>Confirmation sheet</p> | Yes | as agreed in section 5a | | |
| NC2: Social Care Maintenance | PR4 | A demonstration of how the area will maintain the level of spending on social care services from the CCG minimum contribution to the fund in line with the uplift in the overall contribution | Does the total spend from the CCG minimum contribution on social care match or exceed the minimum required contribution (auto-validated on the planning template)? | Auto-validated on the planning template | Yes | as agreed in section 5a | | |
| NC3: NHS commissioned Out of Hospital Services | PR5 | Has the area committed to spend at equal to or above the minimum allocation for NHS commissioned out of hospital services from the CCG minimum BCF contribution? | Does the total spend from the CCG minimum contribution on non-acute, NHS commissioned care exceed the minimum ringfence (auto-validated on the planning template)? | Auto-validated on the planning template | Yes | | | |
| NC4: Plan for improving outcomes for people being discharged from hospital | PR6 | Is there an agreed approach to support safe and timely discharge from hospital and continuing to embed a home first approach? | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the BCF plan demonstrate an agreed approach to commissioning services to support discharge and home first including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> support for safe and timely discharge, and implementation of home first? Does the expenditure plan detail how expenditure from BCF funding sources supports this approach through the financial year? Is there confirmation that plans for discharge have been developed and agreed with Hospital Trusts? | <p>Narrative plan assurance</p> <p>Expenditure tab</p> <p>Narrative plan</p> | Yes | | | |

ANNEX 1

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---|--|--|------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| <p>Agreed expenditure plan for all elements of the BCF</p> | <p>PR7</p> | <p>Is there a confirmation that the components of the Better Care Fund pool that are earmarked for a purpose are being planned to be used for that purpose?</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do expenditure plans for each element of the BCF pool match the funding inputs? (auto-validated) • Is there confirmation that the use of grant funding is in line with the relevant grant conditions? (see paragraphs 32 – 43 of Planning Requirements) (tick-box) • Has funding for the following from the CCG contribution been identified for the area: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Implementation of Care Act duties? - Funding dedicated to carer-specific support? - Reablement? | <p>Expenditure tab</p> <p>Expenditure plans and confirmation sheet</p> <p>Narrative plans and confirmation sheet</p> | <p>Yes</p> | <p>Identified in sections 5b, 6</p> | | |
| <p>Metrics</p> | <p>PR8</p> | <p>Does the plan set stretching metrics and are there clear and ambitious plans for delivering these?</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have stretching metrics been agreed locally for all BCF metrics? • Is there a clear narrative for each metric describing the approach locally to meeting the ambition set for that metric, including how BCF expenditure will support performance against each metric? • Are ambitions across hospital trusts and HWBs for reducing the proportion of inpatients that have been in hospital for 21 days aligned, and is this set out in the rationale? • Have hospital trusts and HWBs developed and agreed plans jointly for reducing the proportion of inpatients that have been in hospital for 14 days or more and 21 days or more? | <p>Metrics tab</p> | <p>Yes</p> | <p>as agreed planning document</p> | | |